
SUMMARY OF CABINET/CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

WEEK COMMENCING 07 January 2019

**CALL IN FOR THESE DECISIONS ENDS
9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 18 JANUARY 2019**

11 January 2019

Public Business

- Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- * Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co- ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

Cabinet Member for Policing and Equalities– Thursday 10 January 2019

Report 4 Petition-Reduce the number of Councillors in each ward

Councillor A S Khan

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member is requested to:

1. Consider the detail in the report and determine what action if any should be taken in response to the issues raised in the petition

The above Recommendation was approved with the addition of the following recommendation that the Cabinet Member request that no further action be taken

Report 5 Response to a petition relating to Anti-Social Behaviour at Cephass Court Hillfields

Councillor A S Khan

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member is recommended to:

1. Encourage residents of Cephass Court to report incidents of concern to Police, Orbit Housing Group and the Council as soon as they occur
2. Request West Midlands Police, Orbit Housing Group and Council officers to continue their monitoring of the area and respond accordingly to residents requests for assistance

The above Recommendations were approved with the addition of the recommendations below:

3. **The Cabinet Member agree to write to Orbit housing to seek clarity over the details of the recommendations they agreed at the meeting with Councillors, officers and residents on November 26th 2018, with the proposition that the works be carried out as soon as possible and within this financial year. Also bringing to the attention of Orbit Housing the conditions at the property including damp and vermin**
4. **Refer the issues discussed to the Housing Enforcement Team to visit Cephass Court with the petition spokesperson to see what action can be taken**

Report 6**Petition-Measures to prevent illegal encampments at the Ponderosa, off Jardine Crescent, Tile Hill****Councillor A S Khan****Recommendations:**

The Cabinet Member for Policing and Equalities is recommended to:

1. Consider the content of the petition and note the concerns of the petitioners'
2. Note that action has been taken to bolster the ditching and mounding around open space and that no further unauthorised incursions have taken place since
3. Agreement has been made with the local football club and keys supplied to allow access by the club to the site via a vehicular gate to prevent further damage to the mounding.
4. Note that the Council's Street pride Service consider the defences adequate.

The above Recommendations were approved**Report 7****Extension of Public Space Protection Order for Edgwick Park****Councillor AS Khan****Recommendations:**

Cabinet is recommended to:

- 1 Note the draft order attached to this report and agree to renew the existing order for a period of three years from the date when the current order expires
2. Request that all partner agencies continue their current actions and patrol plans and also monitor the surrounding areas for any potential displacement of the issues.

The above Recommendations were approved

Report 8 Drone Policy and Police Drone Permission

Councillor AS Khan

Recommendations:

The Cabinet member for Policing and Equalities is recommended to:

1. Adopt the Drone and Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Policy at Appendix 1
2. Consider and delegate authority to the Director, Streetscene and Regulatory Services to sign the Drone and Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Permission to West Midlands Police at Appendix 2.
3. Agree the Drone and Unmanned Ariel Vehicle ("UAV") Permission at Appendix 3 and the charge associated with this of £50.00

The Recommendation 2 above was approved

With regard to Recommendations 1 and 3 above The Cabinet Member asked for officers to review the Drone Policy and bring back a full report having consideration of the representations made to him.

There is no change to the current situation, the Byelaws remain in place and it continues to be the case that the flying of Drones and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) is not permitted in the Council's parks and open spaces.

Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate **UNLESS:-**

1. It falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) – ie. it relates to:-
 - (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
 - (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
 - (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
 - (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
 - (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
 - (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.

- (vii) decisions of the Audit and Procurement Committee.
 - (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
 3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
 4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
 5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.